



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,320	10/16/2001	Kenneth H. Abbott	MS320696.12/MSFTP1895US	4975
27195	7590	03/03/2009	EXAMINER	
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP			PILLAI, NAMITHA	
127 Public Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
57th Floor, Key Tower				2173
CLEVELAND, OH 44114				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/03/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patentattorneys.com  
hholmes@the patentattorneys.com  
lpasterchek@the patentattorneys.com

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/981,320	ABBOTT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	NAMITHA PILLAI	2173

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NAMITHA PILLAI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Bradley. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: McCann.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Discussed possible amendments to claims 1 and 27 2) Examiner explained that amendments to claim 1 reads on elements previously included in the claim including "determining context of the user" 3) Discussed the amendments to claim 27 4) Applicant explained that McCann relies on user intervention for displaying the appropriate user interface to the user .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Namitha Pillai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173	
---	--